

Ingberg, Todd

From: Ingberg, Todd
Sent: Saturday, April 15, 2006 6:16 PM
To: Poindexter, George
Cc: Chaki, Kakali; Talbott, Dave
Subject: RE: PCU- 09/444,374

Hi

I have reviewed the claim history through the many generations (about 5) of claims.

I think the wording in claims 37 and 75 is what is causing the question.

For example in claim 37, the limitation "... to any of claims 30 and 33..." is and was interpreted to mean OR.

The claim tree and index of claims is how the Examiner assigned the dependency of the claim. At first glance it looks like an improper dependency. But the "to any" makes it an OR.

If this is not the problem. Please let me know.

Thank You
Todd

-----Original Message-----

From: Poindexter, George
Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2006 2:56 PM
To: Ingberg, Todd
Cc: Chaki, Kakali; Talbott, Dave
Subject: PCU- 09/444,374

A team was created in the Office of Patent Publication and tasked with ensuring that all allowed applications with allowance dates prior to 10/01/2005 that have not issued into patents are corrected/completed if necessary and returned to the patent printing process. The team needs your assistance with this application in order to fulfill the objective.

This application is an electronic application. The application was allowed on 09/27/2005 and the issue fee was paid on 12/30/2005. Please take action on the following item(s).

*Please review Printer Rush dated 2/24/06.

Please "reply all" to this email with confirmation that you have taken action within 7 days of receiving this notification.

Thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter and timely handling of this application.

Thank you,
Image Assistance Center
George Poindexter
(703) 308-9250 Ext.166